



ecology and environment, inc.

Global Environmental Specialists

720 Third Avenue, Suite 1700
Seattle, Washington 98104
Tel: (206) 624-9537, Fax: (206) 621-9832

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 23, 2015

TO: Eric Nuchims, Project Manager, E & E, Seattle, Washington

FROM: Mark Woodke, START-4 Chemist, E & E, Seattle, Washington *MW*

SUBJ: **Organic Data Quality Assurance Review, John Day Vapor Response Site, John Day, Oregon**

REF: TDD: 15-05-0005 PAN: 1004530.0004.111.02

The data quality assurance review of 4 water samples collected from the John Day Vapor Response site in John Day, Oregon, has been completed. Diesel range organics analysis (Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx) was performed by Friedman and Bruya, Inc., Seattle, Washington. All sample analyses were evaluated following EPA's Stage 2B and/or 4 Data Validation Electronic and/or Manual Process (S2B/4VE/M).

The samples were numbered/labeled:

15053114/BH12GW 15053115/BH14GW 15053601/IR01GW
15053604/IR04GW

Data Qualifications:

1. Sample Holding Times: Acceptable.

The samples were maintained at $< 6^{\circ}\text{C}$. The samples were collected on June 1, 2015, extracted on June 3, 2015, and analyzed on June 3, 2015, therefore meeting QC criteria of less than 7 days between collection and extraction for water sample and less than 40 days between extraction and analysis.

2. Initial Calibration: Acceptable.

Calculations were verified as correct. All relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the laboratory control limits.

3. Continuing Calibration: Acceptable.

Calculations were verified as correct. All percent differences (%Ds) were within the laboratory control limits.

4. Error Determination: Not Performed.

Samples necessary for bias and precision determination were not provided to the laboratory. All samples were flagged RND (Recovery Not Determined) and PND (Precision Not Determined), although the flags are not found on the Form I's.

5. Blanks: Acceptable.

A method blank was analyzed for each extraction batch for each matrix and analysis system. Diesel- and motor oil-range TPHs were not detected in any blank.

6. System Monitoring Compounds (SMC): Acceptable.

All recoveries of the SMCs were greater than 10% and within QC criteria.

7. Performance Evaluation Samples: Not Provided.

Performance evaluation samples were not provided to the laboratory.

8. Blank Spikes: Acceptable.

Blank spike results were within QC limits.

9. Duplicates: Acceptable.

Spike duplicate results were acceptable.

10. Quantitation and Quantitation Limits: Acceptable.

Sample concentrations were correctly calculated.

11. Laboratory Contact: Not Required.

No laboratory contact was required.

12. Overall Assessment of Data for Use

The overall usefulness of the data is based on the criteria outlined in the Site-Specific Sampling Plan and/or Sampling and Quality Assurance Plan, the OSWER Directive "Quality Assurance/Quality Control Guidance for Removal Activities, Data Validation Procedures" (EPA/540/G-90/004) and the analytical method. Based upon the information provided, the data are acceptable for use with the above stated data qualifications.

Data Qualifiers and Definitions

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

- JH - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample with a high bias.
- JL - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample with a low bias.
- JK - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample with an unknown direction of bias.
- JQ - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample with an unknown direction of bias and falls between the MDL and the Minimum (or Practical) Quantitation Limit (MQL, PQL).
- N - The analysis indicates the present of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification".
- NJ - The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R - The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/15

Date Received: 06/03/15

Project: 10PB, 10-060115-203137-0015, F&BI 506058

Date Extracted: 06/03/15

Date Analyzed: 06/03/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES
FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL
USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Extended to Include Motor Oil Range Compounds

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Diesel Extended</u> (C ₁₀ -C ₃₆)	<u>Surrogate</u> (% Recovery) (Limit 41-152)
BH12GW 506058-01	1,200	87
BH14GW 506058-02	<250 U	91
IR01GW 506058-03	<250 U	93
IR04GW 506058-04	<250 U	97
Method Blank 05-1045 MB	<250	89

MW

MW
6-23-15